AMENDMENT OF SOLICIT	ATION/MODIFI	CATION OF CONTRACT		1. CONTRACT ID CO	DΕ	PAGE (	OF PAGES	
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO.	3. EFFECTIVE DATE	EFFECTIVE DATE 4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO.			JECT 1	NO.(If appl	l	
0002	12-Sep-2003	W68MD9-3108-2055						
6. ISSUED BY CODE	DACA67	7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than item 6	i)	CODE				
USA ENGINEER DISTRICT, SEATTLE ATTN: CENWS-CT P.O. BOX 3755 SEATTLE WA 98124-3755		See Item 6						
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., Street, County, State and Zip Code)			Χ	9A. AMENDMENT ( DACA67-03-R-0220	A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. DACA67-03-R-0220			
			Х	9B. DATED (SEE IT 20-Aug-2003	B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) 0-Aug-2003			
				10A. MOD. OF CON	0A. MOD. OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.			
	1			10B. DATED (SEE )	TEM	13)		
CODE	IFACILITY CODE  11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITA							
X The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forti					ot exten	dad		
Offer must acknowledge receipt of this amendment pr				<u></u>	ot exten	ded.		
(a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR T REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this an provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the	reference to the solicitation HE RECEIPT OF OFFERS mendment you desire to ch	n and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YO S PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIF ange an offer already submitted, such change m	UR A TIED ay be	ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO MAY RESULT IN made by telegram or letter,		;		
12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION D.	ATA (If required)							
		) MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS IT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN IT						
A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PUR CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.	SUANT TO: (Specify	y authority) THE CHANGES SET FOR	RTH	IN ITEM 14 ARE MA	DE IN	THE		
B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/0 office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FOR	TH IN ITEM 14, PUI	RSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF			change	s in payi	ng	
C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT I	S ENTERED INTO F	URSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:						
D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and	authority)							
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor is not,	is required to sig	en this document and return	cop	pies to the issuing offic	e.			
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIF where feasible.) Solicitation No. DACA67-03-R-0220 Amendment No. R0002 Title: INSTALLATION BOUNDARY FENCE FORT RICHARDSON, ALASKA	ICATION (Organized	1 by UCF section headings, including s	solic	itation/contract subjec	t matte	er		
SEE CON	ITINUATION PAGE							
Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A							)	
15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type o	16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CO	JIN I		туре	or brint	,		
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR	15C. DATE SIGNE	TEL: D 16B. UNITED STATES OF AME	RIC	EMAIL:	160	DATE	SIGNED	
		BY						
(Signature of person authorized to sign)		(Signature of Contracting O	ffice	er)	12	:-Sep-20	บ3	

#### SECTION SF 30 BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

The purpose of this Amendment No. R0002 to Solicitation No. DACA67-03-R-0220, entitled "Installation Boundary Fence at Fort Richardson, Alaska", is to extend the proposal due date, incorporate clarification changes to Section 00110 "Proposal Submission and Evaluation" and answer administrative questions resulting from the site-visit.

# 1) **PROPOSAL DUE DATE & TIME:**

Proposal due date and time is extended from 19 September 2003, NLT 2:00 PM Local Time to 08 October 2003, NLT 2:00 PM Local Time.

- 2) Section 00110 Proposal Submission and Evaluation <u>Paragraph 4, Minimum Submittal Requirements</u> has been revised. (see enclosure).
- 3) Answers are provided to administrative questions submitted as a result of the 28 August 2003 site-visit. These answers are for clarification purposes only in **do not change the contents of the solicitation in any way** (see enclosure).
- 4) <u>There is a technical amendment forthcoming</u> in order to address changes and revisions to the Plans and Specifications and respond to the written technical questions submitted to tech-bid and those submitted as a result of the site-visit.
- 5) NOTICE TO OFFEROR"S: Offerors must acknowledge receipt of this amendment by number and date on Standard Form 1442 block 19 submitted with proposal or by telegram.
- 6) The attached revised sections are to be replaced in their entirety. Specification changes are generally identified, for convenience, either by strikeout for deletions, and double underlining of text for additions or a single dark line in the right hand margin. All portions of the revised or new pages shall apply whether or not changes have been indicated.
- 7) All other terms and conditions of the solicitation remain unchanged.

### Enclosures:

Revised Section 00110 Proposal Submission and Evaluation Site-Visit administrative questions with corresponding answers.

# SECTION 00110 PROPOSAL SUBMISSION AND EVALUATION

### 1. INTRODUCTION.

- **1.1.** Your firm is invited to submit a proposal in response to Request for Proposal No. **DACA67-03-R-0220** entitled "**Installation Boundary Fence, Fort Richardson, Alaska**". Prospective offerors are required to prepare and submit proposals that will be evaluated in accordance with this section of the solicitation. In accordance with Federal Acquisition Regulations (FAR), Part 15.101-2, proposals will be evaluated using the lowest price technically acceptable source selection process. The evaluation process will be to first determine those proposals that are technically acceptable and then from those proposals determine which firm is the lowest price. The firm offering the **lowest price technically acceptable offer will be awarded the contract.**
- **1.2**. It is the intent of the Government to make award based upon initial offers, without further discussions or additional information. Therefore, proposals should be submitted initially on the most favorable terms from a price and technical standpoint. Do not assume you will have the opportunity to clarify, discuss or revise your proposal.
- **1.3.** It has been determined that competition will be limited to 8(a) firms located in the Region 10 (Alaska, Washington, Oregon, and Idaho). All other firms are deemed ineligible to submit offers. Competition will not be restricted by stage of 8(a) program participation.
- 1.4. Joint Ventures are allowed to submit proposals on 8(a) competitive projects. Joint Venture Agreements The Small Business Administration must receive the Joint Venture agreement prior to proposal time and due date and it must be approved before award of a resulting contract. If you are contemplating a Joint Venture on this project, you must advise your assigned Business Opportunity Specialist (BOS) in writing as soon as possible. It is also recommended that the agreement be submitted as soon as practicable to ensure compliance with established regulations. Any corrections and/or changes needed can be made only when your BOS has adequate time for a thorough review before the proposal due date. NO CORRECTIONS AND OR/CHANGES ARE ALLOWED AFTER TIME AND DATE OF SUBMISSION OF PROPOSAL.
- **1.5. Project Description** The project description is as follows: Construct fencing and repair broken and damaged fencing. Provide gates at all vehicle access ways and reinforce fencing (cabling and anchors) at areas subject to vehicle access. Provide pedestrian and bicycle access restriction measures along the right of way of the Glenn Highway without impacting animal access trails. Construct pipe rail fence for vehicle access control in areas sensitive to animal migration. Design details for fence and a registered surveyor will be required. The estimated performance period is 360 calendar days. This procurement action will be negotiated and awarded pursuant to Section 8(a) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 637(a) and the DOD/SBA Partnership Agreement dated February 1, 2002. Proposed procurement will result in award of a firm fixed price contract.

DACA67-03-R-0220

### 2. EVALUATION FACTORS.

- 2.1. Technical Evaluation Factors.
  - **2.1.1. The technical evaluation factors** identified below will be evaluated on an ACCEPTABLE/NON-ACCEPTABLE basis only:
    - **2.1.1.1**. Relevant Experience of Firms Proposed
    - **2.1.1.2**. Qualifications of Proposed Key Team Members
    - **2.1.1.3**. Past Performance, Customer Satisfaction, Timely performance
- **2.2. Basis of the source selection evaluation -** This Section establishes the method to be implemented with regard to the evaluation of the proposals. Evaluation is to be based exclusively on the merits and contents of the proposal and any subsequent discussions required. Offerors not meeting the minimum requirements of *all* technical evaluation factors shall be determined to be **NON-ACCEPTABLE** and will not be considered for award. Technical Proposals will be evaluated on an **ACCEPTABLE** or **NON-ACCEPTABLE** basis only. Proposals must set forth full, accurate, and complete information as required by this RFP. Absence of information will be deemed as if no support for that factor was provided. Award will be made to the lowest price technically acceptable offeror.

# 2.2.1. Technical Evaluation Ratings - Definitions

- **2.2.1.1. Acceptable**: An acceptable rating indicates that the offeror has provided sufficient information to meet the **minimum** qualifications/standards described in the technical evaluation factor.
- **2.2.1.2. Non-Acceptable**: A non-acceptable rating indicates that the offeror has not provided sufficient information to meet the **minimum** qualifications/standards described in the technical evaluation factor.

# 3. GENERAL SUBMITTAL REQUIREMENTS.

**3.1. Proposals shall be submitted in two parts:** (a) **technical proposal**, and (b) **price proposal**. Each shall be submitted in a separate envelope or package with the type of proposal (i.e., technical or price) clearly printed on the outside of the envelope or package. The maximum number of pages in the technical proposal should not exceed 60 one-sided pages with a font size no smaller than 10 point. Offerors submitting proposals should limit submission to data essential for evaluation of proposals so that a minimum of time and moneys are expended in preparing information required by the RFP. Proposals are to be on 8 ½ x 11-inch paper, to the maximum extent practicable, and submitted in standard letter (8½ x 11-inch) hardback loose-leaf binders. Contents of binders shall be tabbed and labeled to afford easy identification from the proposal Table of Contents. No material shall be incorporated by reference or reiteration of the RFP. Any such material

DACA67-03-R-0220

will not be considered for evaluation. It shall be presented in a manner, which allows it to "STAND ALONE" without need for evaluators to reference other documents. Table of Contents, Index Tabs, and Photographs **will not** be considered a page. Unnecessarily elaborate brochures or other presentation materials beyond those sufficient to present complete and effective responses are not desired and may be construed as an indication of the Offerors lack of cost consciousness. Penalty for making false statements in proposals is prescribed in 18 U.S.C. 1001.

# 4. MINIMUM SUBMITTAL REQUIREMENTS

4.1. Relevant Experience of the firms proposed for the design-build team with similar projects: The Offeror shall sub mit projects demonstrating relevant experience. "Relevant experience" is defined as construction experience and/or installing fencing similar in scope, cost, and complexity to the project in this solicitation, including civilian and military projects. Projects submitted can be projects completed by any member company of the Design-Build Team. Provide a list of specific projects, using the format below, including projects for the design, construction/fencing installation, and the required surveying that are either currently in process (if in process project must be at least 75% complete) or were completed within the last seven (7) years. List two (2) projects for this criterion. Start with the most recent and relevant projects and work backwards in time. One of the projects is to demonstrate design/survey capability. The projects selected should clearly demonstrate the capabilities of the design-build team in one or more of the areas described in this paragraph.

# As a minimum, for each project listed, provide:

- 1) Project title and location
- 2) Dollar value of construction
- 3) Construction period (month/year start to month/year end)
- 4) Description of the project scope of work
- 5) Brief description of how the project is relevant, and meets the requirements of this RFP project.
- 6) Current primary point of contact for the customer (name, relationship to project, agency/firm affiliation, city and state, phone number).
- **4.2. Qualifications of proposed key team members:** Provide qualifications, in the form of resumes, for the KEY individual team members proposed for both construction and design work. In addition, the Offeror will provide a concise summary of the duties and responsibilities for each of the proposed individuals that clearly indicates separate duties and responsibilities for each of the positions. The proposal should clearly present the separate credentials for each position and each person performing the duties of the position to which they are identified. Resumes should include examples of project experience, not to exceed three (3) examples, and educational qualifications, if applicable. It is expected that the key individuals in your proposal will be the individuals who perform work under the contract. **The Contracting Officer must approve substitute personnel.**

Should the Contractor choose to use a (non-employee of their firm) member of the Design-Build Team to for the position of Project Manager and/or Contractor Quality Control (CQC) System Manager, as the responsible party the Prime Contractor must submit a detailed explanation demonstrating how they plan to maintain control of quality and insure timely installation and completion of the requirements.

Resumes should be no more than two (2) pages per individual and submitted in a format similar to the one shown below. As a minimum, provide resumes for the following individuals (individuals may have more than one role)

**Construction/Fencing**: Project Manager, Contractor Quality Control (CQC) Systems Manager, and Project On-site Superintendent

**Design**: Design Project Manager and Surveyor

- **4.2.1. Project Manager:** The Project Manager shall have a baccalaureate degree in a relevant field such as engineering, architecture or construction management with a minimum of three (3) projects that demonstrates the ability to construct projects similar in scope, cost and complexity to this contract **or** a person in the construction field with a minimum of 5 years in as a project manager on projects of the same scope, size and complexity of this solicitation
- **4.2.2. Contractor Quality Control (CQC) System Manager:** The CQC (Contractor Quality Control) System Manager shall be a graduate engineer, graduate architect, or a graduate of construction management, with a minimum of 5 years construction experience on construction similar to this solicitation or a construction person with a minimum of 10 years in related work. Experience must have occurred with the past 10 years.
- **4.2.3. Project On-site Superintendent**: The Project Superintendent shall have no less than 5 years experience as a project superintendent on construction projects of similar scope, size and complexity. The experience must demonstrate construction knowledge and ability to manage construction, the installation of fencing and be consistent with the type of construction/fencing provided for in this solicitation.
- **4.2.4. Design Project Manager:** The Design Manager shall have a minimum of 5 years experience managing similar projects. Experience must include developing and managing a schedule with a list of activities, managing a project budget, and briefing the project status.

R0002

DACA67-03-R-0220

**4.2.5. Surveyor:** The Surveyor shall at a minimum be licensed and registered in the State of Alaska and have a minimum of three years experience at the Level Three Order for Surveying. Respondents should submit proof of Level Three Order certification.

### RESUME FORMAT

Name and Title

- 1. Proposed Duties/Functions for this project
- 2. Firm Affiliation and Years Affiliated
- 3. Years of Experience performing duties/functions as proposed for this project.
- 4. Education School attended, Degree, Certification, Year, and Specialization
- 5. List Active Registrations (Professional or Technical Licenses/Certifications)
- 6. Describe Specific Qualifications for this project
- 7. List Projects worked on to Include:

Project Title & Location,

Scope Size and Complexity,

Duties/Functions,

Date of project

8. Demonstrate how each project submitted is relevant to the project to be constructed under this solicitation.

**4.3. Past Performance of the Prime.** Past performance of the **Prime Contractor** will be evaluated using the CCASS database. All performance ratings for the past 7 years shall be considered. If an offeror does not have past performance available in CCASS or wishes to augment the CCASS system ratings, the offerors may ask customers to submit the Customer Satisfaction Survey found at the end of this section. For each project constructed for Private Industry, provide a completed Customer Satisfaction Survey for each applicable project within the last 7 years. All Customer Satisfaction Surveys must be submitted to the Government from the customer or agency that is providing the information. Further instructions are found at the top of the Customer Satisfaction Survey. It is requested that only relevant projects be included. A relevant project is one of the same scope, cost and complexity as this solicitation. Should the offerors want to review the CCASS ratings contained in the Corps of Engineers CCASS Database, they may request the information by fax on company letterhead at the following telephone-fax number: (503) 808-4596. The Government reserves the right to contact the evaluator on previous Government or Private Sector work to verify the Offerors construction experience. In the case of an offeror without a record of past performance or for whom information on past performance is not available, the offeror may not be evaluated as favorable or unfavorable on past performance (See FAR 15.305(a)(2)(iv)). An overall rating of satisfactory or above on CCASS performance evaluations and an overall acceptable rating on Customer Satisfaction Surveys will be given an acceptable rating. Please do not submit past performance information for other members of the Design-Build Team as only the Past Performance of the Prime Contractor is being evaluated.

- **4.3.1. Offeror Submitted Surveys.** Surveys submitted directly by the offeror may not be considered. Please ensure envelopes containing surveys being submitted to this office do not contain the offerors return address.
- **4.3.2 As a maximum**, no more than five (5) customer satisfaction surveys will be considered for the prime firm (i.e., the firm signing the Standard Form 1442, Solicitation, Offer and Award) for work not listed (i.e. civilian projects) in the Government CCASS system.

#### 5. PROPOSAL CONTENTS/FORMAT.

- **5.1. Technical Proposal Format**. As a minimum, each copy of the technical proposal should contain the information and follow the general format specified below. Pages should be numbered from beginning to end, without repeating for new sections.
- **5.2. Technical Proposal Format-** Five (5) sets required, **original plus four (4) copies**

### TECHNICAL PROPOSAL FORMAT

- 1. Technical Proposal Cover Letter, to include:
- a. Solicitation Number
- b. Name, address, and telephone and facsimile numbers of the Offeror (and electronic address, if available)
- c. A statement specifying the extent of agreement with all terms, conditions, and provisions included in the solicitation and agreement to furnish any or all items upon which prices are offered at the price set opposite each item and that the offer has an acceptance period of 120 calendar days from the date the proposal is submitted.
- d. Name, titles, and telephone and facsimile numbers (and electronic addresses if available) of persons authorized to negotiate on the Offerors behalf with the Government in connection with this solicitation
- e. Name, title, and signature of person authorized to sign the proposal. Proposals signed by an agent shall be accompanied by evidence of that agent's authority, unless that evidence has been previously furnished to the issuing office.
- f. Table of Contents. List all sections for the technical proposal. Any future amendments, additions and/or revisions to proposal shall include updated Table of Contents for each set.
- 2. Relevant Experience data
- 3. Qualifications of key team members.
- 4. Past Performance data.

- **5.3. Price Proposal Format.** The price proposal shall be submitted in an **ORIGINAL plus 1 copy** and must be signed by an official authorized to bind your firm. Note that Standard Form 1442, Block 13D, provides the number of calendar days after the date of the offer for which the proposal is firm. The price proposal, to be submitted at the same time as the technical proposal, should include:
- **5.4 Price Proposal -** Original and one (1) copy
- ? \$F 1442, Solicitation, Offer and Award and Award and Corporate certificate
- ? Acknowledge all amendments by number and date in Block 19 on SF 1442 BACK
- ? **Pricing Schedule**
- ? Section 00600, Representation, Certifications and Other Statements of Offerors and Pre-award Information
- ? Banking and Bonding information
- ? Bid Bond
- 5.5. Additional Instructions.
  - **5.5.1.** Provide the name, point of contact, phone number, and address for the bank and bonding company of the firm signing the SF 1442.
  - **5.5.2. Bid Bonds** Bid Bonds must be accompanied by a Power of Attorney containing an original signature from the surety, which must be affixed to the Power of Attorney after the Power of Attorney has been generated. Computer generated and signed Power's of Attorney will only be accepted if accompanied by an original certification from a current officer of the surety attesting to its authenticity and continuing validity.
- **6. PROPOSAL EVALUATIONS AND AWARD.** A firm fixed-price contract will be awarded to one firm submitting the proposal that:
  - **6.1. Conforms** to this request for proposals (RFP),
  - **6.2.** Is the technically acceptable, lowest price offer, and
  - **6.3.** Is determined to be in the best interest of the Government.
  - **6.4.** To be considered for award, proposals shall conform to the terms and conditions contained in the RFP. No proposal shall be accepted that does not address all factors specified in this solicitation or which includes stipulations or qualifying conditions.
  - **6.5. Price**. Price will be evaluated for reasonableness and to assess the offerors understanding of the contract requirements and any risk inherent in the offerors approach. Financial capacity and bonding ability will be checked.

**6.6. Award.** It is the intent of the Government to make award based upon the lowest price technically acceptable initial offer, without further discussions or additional information. Therefore, proposals shall be submitted initially on the most favorable terms from a price and technical standpoint. Do not assume you will be afforded the opportunity to clarify, discuss or revise your proposal. If award is not made on initial offers, discussion will be conducted as described below.

# **6.7. Competitive Range**. (FAR 15.306(c)

- **6.7.1. Competitive Range**. After initial evaluation of proposals, if the Contracting Officer determines that discussions are required, the Contracting Officer will establish a competitive range comprised of the technically acceptable proposals. Discussions will be held with firms in the competitive range.
- **6.7.2. Discussions**. Should it be necessary for discussions, the Government will conduct written discussions with only those offerors determined to be technically acceptable. If all proposals are determined to be non-acceptable, at the Contracting Officer's discretion, all firms may be requested to participate in discussions. As a result of discussions, offerors may make revisions to their initial offers. Discussions will culminate in a request for Final Proposal Revision, the date and time of which will be common to all offerors.

#### 7. DEBRIEFINGS

- **7.1. Pre-award**. Offerors excluded from the competition before award will receive a notice and may request a debriefing before award by submitting a written request for a debriefing to the Contracting Officer within three (3) days after receipt of the notice of exclusion from the competition.
- **7.2. Post-award.** Unsuccessful Offerors shall request post-award debriefing within three (3) days after the date on which the offeror received notification of contract award. Point-by-point comparisons with other offerors proposals will not be made, and debriefings will not reveal any information that is not releasable under the Freedom of Information Act.
- **8. PROPOSAL EXPENSES AND PRECONTRACT COSTS PROPOSAL EXPENSES AND PRECONTRACT COSTS**: This RFP does not commit the Government to pay costs incurred in preparation and submission of the initial and any subsequent proposals or any other costs incurred prior to execution of a formal contract.

# SEE CUSTOMER SATISFACTION SURVEY FOLLOWING THIS PAGE

# **END OF SECTION 00110**



Answers are provided to administrative questions submitted as a result of the 28 August 2003 site-visit. These answers are for clarification purposes only in **do not change the contents of the solicitation in any way**.

Technical and Site Specific questions shall be answered in the forthcoming Technical Amendment.

# Question/Answers:

### 1) Question:

Can a local Anchorage or Fort Richardson address be provided to submit the offer to?

### Answer:

No! Proposals must be submitted per the instructions of the solicitation.

# 2) Question:

Can the Prime Contractor use the experience of their team members to fulfill the above requirements of 4.1 – Relevant Experience of Firms Proposed, 4.2 – Qualifications of Proposed Key team members and 4.3 – Past performance, Customer satisfaction, timely Performance

#### Answer:

See revised Section 00110, Paragraph 4. Minimum Submittal Requirements for clarification.

### 3) Question:

The amount of work (all types) that a fencing sub-contractor already has under contract should be a determining factor to evaluate the fencing sub-contractors ability to finish this project during he 2004 construction season.

### Answer:

The burden and responsible for timely installation and completion of the fencing project falls to the Prime Contractor.